

[5th February 1924]

The hon. Sir ARTHUR KNAPP :—" My hon. Friend is asking me to consider something that is not exactly a supplementary question."

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—" I wish to be allowed to finish my sentence. The time-table of the South Indian Railway Company working to the detriment of the District Board Railway is within our cognizance. I may suggest that the profit or loss of the Tanjore District Board is a matter with which we are concerned; the district board is administered by us. Therefore, I am asking whether the Government will be pleased to call for the information in view of this statement? "

*Railway line between Erode and Satyamangalam.*

117 Q.—Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether the district board of Coimbatore has resolved to construct a railway line between Erode and Satyamangalam; and whether an application has been made to the Government for financial aid; and

(b) whether the Government have any intention of rendering any financial help of any shape whatever; and if so, when, and to what extent?

A.—(a) In October 1921 the Coimbatore district board proposed to take up the Erode-Satyamangalam line and applied to Government for a loan of Rs. 25 lakhs to construct it. In view of the Secretary of State's decision approving the reconversion of the Erode-Trichinopoly line to broad gauge the district board was informed that the Erode-Satyamangalam line would no longer form part of a through metre gauge route between Mysore and South India. The board was requested to re-examine the project on its own merits as an isolated district board line on a 2' 6" gauge or as a tram line. The district board has not reported its views.

(b) It is not possible to answer this question at the present stage.

**Registration.**

*Departmental punishment of Sub-Registrars.*

118 Q.—Mr. S. SATYAMURTI: Will the hon. the Minister for Education (Registration) be pleased to state—

(1) the number of Brahman and non-Brahman Sub-Registrars who were awarded punishments of the following description since the assumption of charge by the present Inspector-General of Registration :—(a) Fine; (b) stoppage of annual increment (i) for six months and (ii) for twelve months; (c) (i) suspension for three months and less, (ii) suspension for more than three months but less than six months, (iii) suspension for more than six months but less than twelve months, (iv) suspension for more than twelve months; and (d) dismissal;

(2) in how many cases petitions for reconsideration of these punishments were received (a) from Brahman Sub-Registrars, (b) from non-Brahman Sub-Registrars; and

5th February 1924]

(3) in how many cases the above punishments were totally cancelled (a) in the case of Brahman officers and (b) in the case of non-Brahman officers?

	Brahman Sub-Registrars.	Non-Brahman Sub-Registrars.
A—(1) Total number in the department ...	353	290
(a) Fine ... ..	23	9
(b) Stoppage of annual increments—		
(i) for 6 months and less ... ..	17	17
(ii) for 12 months ... ..	30	14
(c) Suspension—		
(i) for 3 months and less ... ..	10	2
(ii) for more than 3 months but less than 6 months ... ..	8	4
(iii) for more than 6 months but less than 12 months ... ..	9	Nil
(iv) for more than 12 months ... ..	4	Nil
(d) Dismissals ... ..	6	3
Removals from service ... ..	2	5
(2) (a) 42, (b) 18.		
(3) (a) 5, (b) 4.		

Mr. JANAB MUHAMMAD YAHYA ALI SAHIB :—“ May I know, Sir, whether Muhammadans are included in the term ‘ Non-Brahman ’ ? ”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—“ I shall supply the information to the hon. Member later on.”

*Filling up of clerical vacancies in the Registration department.*

119 Q.—Mr. S. SATYAMURTI : Will the hon. the Minister for Education (Registration) be pleased to state whether it is a fact that since the assumption of charge by the present Inspector-General of Registration, the majority of temporary vacancies in clerkships are not filled up with a view to show savings, in spite of the fact that such a procedure is causing a good deal of hardship to the other clerks on account of their having to work during non-office hours and on holidays?

A.—The answer is in the negative.

*Petty repairs in the Registration department.*

120 Q.—Mr. S. SATYAMURTI : Will the hon. the Minister for Education (Registration) be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the present Inspector-General of Registration grudges the sanction of sufficient allotment for petty repairs to buildings and furniture and that in consequence the condition of the buildings and furniture in the case of a large number of offices is far from satisfactory?

A.—The answer is in the negative.